

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/845,904	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MOREL, NOEL	
	<b>Examiner</b> Geoffrey L. Knable	<b>Art Unit</b> 1733	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Geoffrey L. Knable.

 (2) Alan Kopecki.
**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 April 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

n/a

**Claims discussed:**

all

**Prior art documents discussed:**


n/a

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed applicant's representative that the March 24, 2004 amendment placed this application into condition for allowance and that notice to this effect would be sent shortly. Applicant's representative was also informed that the obvious typographical error in claim 1, line 6 (i.e. "lest" to "least") would be corrected by examiner's amendment.